

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>		<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/923,253		BREWINGTON ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>		<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Constantine Hannaher		2878	

**All Participants:**

(1) Constantine Hannaher.

(2) Sean M. McGinn (Reg. No. 34,386).

**Date of Interview:** 1 October 2003

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant    ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes    ☒ No  
If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:  
35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph

Claims discussed:  
30

Prior art documents discussed:  
none

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  
See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


**Status of Application:** Rejected

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_



**Constantine Hannaher**  
**Primary Examiner**

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner does not find support in the specification as filed for the presentation of claim 30 in the amendment submitted 08 August 2003. Although applicant's representative made several attempts to identify such support, today we agreed to an examiner's amendment to cancel claim 30.